UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY as subrogee of BP/CG Center I, LLC, BP/CG II, LLC, Citigroup Center Condominium, and all other named insureds under policy number 8753820,

Plaintiffs,

CASE No.: 08 CV 6563 (GBD)(THK)

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT WITH CROSS-CLAIMS

-against-

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LOCHINVAR CORPORATION, SOUTH ERIE
PRODUCTION COMPANY doing business as SEPCO
ERIE and S. DIGIACOMO & SON, INC.,

	Defendants	
<u> </u>		X

Defendant **S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc.,** by its attorneys, FRENCH & RAFTER, LLP, as and for its Answer to the Verified Complaint, alleges as follows:

Background

- 1. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "1", and refers to the Complaint for the allegations contained therein.
- 2. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "2".
- 3. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph designated "3" and denies Defendant S. DiGiacomo & Son improperly manufactured, designed or installed the coupling alleged to have failed.

4. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "4", and refers to the Complaint for the allegations contained therein.

Jurisdiction and Venue

5. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "5" and "6" and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.

The Parties

- 6. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "7", "8", "10", and "12".
- 7. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph designated "9" and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
- 8. Admits the allegation in paragraph "11", that Defendant S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc. was and still is a corporation duly organized under the law of State of New York, but denies Defendant S. DiGiacomo & Son has a place of business in Eastchester, New York.

The Loss

- 9. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "13", "14", "15" "16", "17", "19", and "20".
- 10. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "18", and refers to the relative contracts or agreements for the terms and conditions contained therein.

AS AND FOR A RESPONSE TO THE CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 14. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every admission or denial heretofore made in response to paragraphs designated "1" through "13" as though fully set forth herein at length in response to paragraph designated "21".
- 15. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "22", "23", "24", and "25".
- 16. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph designated "26", and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.

AS AND FOR A RESPONSE TO THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 17. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every admission or denial heretofore made in response to paragraphs designated "1" through "16" as though fully set forth herein at length in response to paragraph designated "27".
- 18. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "28, "29" "30", and "31".
- 19. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph designated "32", and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.

AS AND FOR A RESPONSE TO THE THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

20. Defendant repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every admission or denial heretofore made in response to paragraphs designated "1" through "19" as though fully set forth herein at length in response to paragraph designated "33".

- 21. Denies the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "34", and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.
 - 22. Denies the allegations contained in paragraphs designated "35" and "36".
- 23. Denies the allegations contained in paragraph designated "37", and respectfully refers all questions of law to the Court.

AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

24. That this action is barred and/or limited by reason of the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations.

AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

25. That the damages allegedly suffered by the Plaintiffs were caused in whole or in part by the culpable conduct of the Plaintiffs themselves, and the Plaintiffs' claims are therefore barred or diminished in the proportion that such culpable conduct of the Plaintiffs bears to the total culpable conduct causing the damages.

AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

27. That any and all expenses and economic losses incurred by the Plaintiffs have been or will be paid to the Plaintiffs by a collateral source as defined in CPLR §4545(c), and, as such, these answering Defendants are, as a matter of law, not required to indemnify the Plaintiffs, and consequently, the Plaintiffs' claims are therefore extinguished or diminished.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

28. That any damages sustained by Plaintiffs were caused by third parties over whom this

answering Defendant exercised no control and/or right of control.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

29. That the Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate damages.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS LOCHINVAR CORPORATION and SOUTH ERIE PRODUCTION COMPANY doing business as SEPCO-ERIE THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

30. That if Plaintiffs sustained the damages in the manner and at the time and place alleged, and if it is found that the answering Defendant, **S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc.** is liable to Plaintiffs herein, all of which is specifically denied, then said answering Defendant, on the basis of apportionment of responsibility for the alleged occurrence, is entitled to contribution from Co-Defendants, Lochinvar Corporation and South Erie Production Company, d/b/a Sepco-Erie, for any verdict or judgment that Plaintiffs may recover against the answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CROSS-CLAIM AGAINST CO-DEFENDANTS LOCHINVAR CORPORATION and SOUTH ERIE PRODUCTION COMPANY doing business as SEPCO-ERIE THIS ANSWERING DEFENDANT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS

31. That if Plaintiffs sustained the damages in the manner and at the time and place alleged, and if it is found that the answering Defendant, S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc. is liable herein, all of which is specifically denied, then said answering Defendant, on the basis of common-law indemnification, is entitled to indemnification from and judgment over and against Co-Defendants, Lochinvar Corporation and South Erie Production Company, d/b/a Sepco-Erie, for any verdict or judgment that Plaintiffs may recover against the answering Defendant.

Case 1:08-cv-06563-GBD

WHEREFORE, Defendant S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc. demands judgment dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against it, and further demands that in the event said answering Defendant is found liable to Plaintiffs herein, then said answering Defendant on the basis of apportionment of responsibility and/or the basis of common law indemnification has judgment over and against Co-Defendants, Lochinvar Corporation and South Erie Production Company d/b/a SEPCO Erie, for all or part of any verdict or judgment against said answering Defendant, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York August 27, 2008

Yours, etc.

FRENCH & RAFTER, LLP

BY:

BETH L. REX, ESO. (BLR 2025)

Attorneys for Defendant

S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc.

29 Broadway, 27th Floor New York, New York 10006

212-797-3544

File #: 8400.1152

TO:

David Scott Smith, Esq. Gwertzman Lefkowitz Burman Smith & Marcus Attorneys for Plaintiffs 80 Broad Street - 16th Floor New York, New York 10004 (212) 968-1001

Roland Theodoor Koke, Esq. Rende, Ryan & Downes, LLP Attorneys for Defendants LOCHINVAR CORPORATION, 202 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, New York 10601 (914) 681-0444

SOUTH ERIE PRODUCTION COMPANY d/b/a SEPCO-ERIE 1221 Robinson Road Erie, PA 16509

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF NEW YORK))ss: COUNTY OF NEW YORK

SANDY PEÑA, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That I am not a party to the within action, am over 18 years of age and reside in Queens County, New York.

That on August 27, 2008, deponent served the within ANSWER TO COMPLAINT WITH CROSS-CLAIMS upon the attorneys and parties listed below by United States prepaid mail by placing same in a mailbox in the State of New York to:

David Scott Smith, Esq. Gwertzman Lefkowitz Burman Smith & Marcus Attorneys for Plaintiffs 80 Broad Street – 16th Floor New York, New York 10004 (212) 968-1001

SOUTH ERIE PRODUCTION COMPANY d/b/a SEPCO-ERIE 1221 Robinson Road Erie, PA 16509

Roland Theodoor Koke, Esq. Rende, Ryan & Downes, LLP Attorneys for Defendants LOCHINVAR CORPORATION, 202 Mamaroneck Avenue White Plains, New York 10601 (914) 681-0444

Sworn to before me this 27TH day of August, 2008

NOTARY PUBLIC

Notary Public, State of New York Notary Fublic, State of INEW York
NO. 31-4928883

Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires April 4, 20 10 Case No.: 08 CV 6563

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY as subrogee of BP/CG Center I, LLC, BP/CG II, LLC, Citigroup Center Condominium, and all other named insureds under policy number 8753820,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

LOCHINVAR CORPORATION, SOUTH ERIE PRODUCTION COMPANY doing business as SEPCO-ERIE and S. DIGIACOMO & SON, INC.,

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT WITH CROSS-CLAIMS

FRENCH & RAFTER, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

S. DiGiacomo & Son, Inc.

29 BROADWAY **NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006 TELEPHONE (212) 797-3544**